Case 2:02-cv-03168 Document 1 Filed 05/23/2002 Page 1 of 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Untied States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a)	PLAINTIFFS RAUBIE and SUZI	E GREEEN, h/w	I	DEFENDANT HONEYWELL IN	rs NTERNATIONAL, F/K/A AL	LIED SIGI	NAL,
- ,				Succe	essor in interest to Bendix C	Corporation	n
(b)	COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	CE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	NJ	COUNTY OF RESIDI	ENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFEND	ANT MORRI	S COUNTY,
		(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C		NTY NOTE:	(IN U.S. PLAINT IN LAND CONDEMNATION LOCATION OF THE TRACT (TIFF CASES CASES, USE OF LAND INV	ONLY) THE OLVED
(c)	ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	ME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHON	IE NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNO	OWN)		<u></u>
	Anapol, Schwartz, Weiss, 1900 Delancey Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-790-4572	Cohan, Feldman & Smalley		Rawle & Henderson LI The Widener Building One South Penn Squa Philadelphia, PA 1910 (215) 57	ure 7		
Ш.	BASIS OF JUF	RISDICTION (PLACE AN	AX@IN ONE BOX ONLY)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL ersity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF		
9 1 U.	S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		PTF	DEF		PTFDEF
	aintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State 9 1	9 1 Incorporated or Principal of Business in this State		9 494
9 2 U.	S. Government	9 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State 9 2	9 2 Incorporated and Princi		9 5 9 5
De	efendant	(Indicate Citizensi	nip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a 9 3	of Business in Another State 9 3 Foreign Nation		9 696
				Foreign Country			
IV.	ORIGIN	(P	LACE AN AX® IN ONE	BOX ONLY)		Appeal to D	istrict
	9 1 Original Proceeding			einstated or 9 5 another district eopened (specify)	9 6 Multidistrict 9 7		
V.	NATURE OF SU	IT (PLACE AN AX@ IN ON	E BOX ONLY)				-
	CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER ST	ATUTES
9 110	Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	9 610 Agriculture	9 422 Appeal	9 400 S	State
9 120	Marine	9 310 Airplane	9 362 Personal Injury-		28 USC 158	-	\
9 130 9 140	Miller Act Negotiable Instrument	9 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med Malpractic 9 365 Personal Injury-		9 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	9 410 A 9 430 B	Reapportionm ent Intitrust Banks and
9 150	Recovery of Overpayment	9 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	·	PROPERTY RIGHTS	9 450	Banking
							Commerce/IC C Rates/etc.
	& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 9 330 Federal Employers=	9 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product	nal 9 630 Liquor Laws 9 640 R.R. & Truck	9 820 Copyrights	9 460 D 9 470 R	Deportation Racketeer
9 151	Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	9 650 Airline Regs	9 830 Patent	lr C	orrupt
9 152	Recovery of Defaulted	9 340 Marine		9 660 Occupational	9 840 Trademark	9 810 S	Organizations Selective Service
	Student Loans	9 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health		9 850	service
9 153	(Excl. Veterans) Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 9 350 Motor Vehicle	9 370 Other Fraud 9 371 Truth in Lending	9 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	n E	Securities/Co nmodities/ Exchange Customer
	of Veteran-s Benefits	9 355 Motor Vehicle	9 380 Other Personal		9 861 HIA (1395ff)	1:	Challenge 2 USC 3410
9 160	Stockholders= Suits	Product Liability	Property Damag		9 862 Black Lung (923)	9 891 A	agricultural acts
9 190	Other Contract	9 360 Other Personal	9 385 Property Damaç	ge Standards Act	9 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	S	conomic Stabilization act
9 195	Contract Product Liability	Injury	Product Liability	9 720 Labor/Mgmt	9 864 SSID Title XVI	9 893 E	nvironmental Matters
				Relations	9 865 RIS (405(g))	9 894 E	nergy Illocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	9 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	lr	reedom of nformation
9 210	Land Condemnation	9 441 Voting	9 510 Motions to Vaca		9 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	9 900 A	oppeal of Fee Determina-
9 220	Foreclosure	9 442 Employment	Sentence	9 740 Railway Labor	or Defendant)	tie E	on Under Equal Access
9 230 9 240	Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	9 443 Housing/ Accommodations	9 530 Habeas Corpus 9 540 Mandamus & Ot	her 9 790 Other Labor	9 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	9 950	Justice
9 245 9 290	Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	9 444 Welfare 9 440 Other Civil Rights	9 550 Civil Rights	9 791 Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		X 890 C	Constitutionali / of State Statutes Other Statutory Actions

Case 2:02-600-03-1-68 | SDICE | DOGNIMENT | 15s DIVERSITE | 05/23/2002 | Page 2 of 17

28 USC '1452(A) '1334(B) and Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9027

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN **DEMAND \$** Check YES only if demanded in complaint: **COMPLAINT: 9** UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: 9 YES 9 NO VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions): JUDGE N/A DOCKET NUMBER N/A SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY _ AMOUNT_____ APPLYING IFP_____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE RECEIPT #___

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA C DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

	of Plaintiff: Raubie and Suzie Green, 740 W. 2nd St., Choof Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal,		d and Park Avenue, Morriste	own,	
	Sey 07962 Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure in (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	Pennsylvania and e	<u>elsewhere</u>		
Does this	s case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?		Yes X No G		
RELATE.	D CASE IF ANY				
Case Num Civil case	aber: N/A Judge N/A s are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	Date Terminated: N/g questions:	<u>'A</u>		
	this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit portion of the year previously terminated action in this court?	Yes G No G			
	Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
ear	bes this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alread rlier numbered case pending or within one year previously termina		Yes G No G		
A. Fee 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 66. 7. 8. 9. 10. X	Social Security Review Cases All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC ' 1452, 1 ARBITRATION CERTI (Check appropriate Case)	2. G Airplane 3. G Assault, 4. G Marine P 5. G Motor Vo 6. G Other Pe 7. G Products 8. G Products 9. G All Other 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rul	re Contract and Other Contracts re Personal Injury Defamation Personal Injury rehicle Personal Injury resonal Injury (Please specify) resonal Injury (Please specify) resonal Injury		
I, Peter J	. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:				
X G	Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best or recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 except Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		_		
DATE: _	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	<u>27601</u>	Attorney I.D. #		
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e has been compliance w	with F.R.C.P. 38.		
action in	hat, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case this court except as noted above.	e now pending or with		nated	
DATE: _		<u>27601</u>			

Case 2:02-cv-03168 Document 1 Filed 05/23/2002

Page 4 of 17 Attorney LD.#7

CIV. 609(9/99)

Case 2:02-cv-03168 DISTRICT COURT Page 5 of 17

 $FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA\ C\ DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case$ for the purpose of assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.

	Raubie and Suzie Green, 740 W. 2nd St Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Si		nd Park Avenue, Morristown.	
New Jersey 07962			·	
Place of Accident, Inc	ident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposi	ure in Pennsylvania and else de For Additional Space)	<u>where</u>	
Does this case involve	multidistrict litigation possibilities?		Yes X No G	
RELATED CASE IF A	VY			
Case Number: N/A Civil cases are deemed re	Judge N/A elated when yes is answered to any of the following	Date Terminated: N/A owing questions:		
	4. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?			
	olve the same issues of fact or grow out of the or within one year previously terminated acti		Yes G No G	
	olve the validity or infringement of a patent case pending or within one year previously te		Yes G No G	
CIVIL: (Place: in ONI	E CATEGORY ONLY)			
 G FELA Jones ActCPe G Antitrust G Patent G Labor-Manager G Civil Rights G Habeas Corpu G Securities Act(G Social Security 	ntract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contractrsonal Injury ment Relations s (s) Cases	 2. G Airplane Per 3. G Assault, Der 4. G Marine Pers 5. G Motor Vehich 6. G Other Perso 7. G Products Lia 8. G Products Lia 9. G All Other D 	contract and Other Contracts rsonal Injury famation onal Injury cle Personal Injury nal Injury (Please specify) ability ability Asbestos iversity Cases (Please specify)	
	ARBITRATION CE (Check appropria			
I, Peter J. Neeson, Esqui	re, counsel of record do hereby certify:			
recoverable	Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150 than monetary damages is sought.	•	•	
DATE:	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	<u>27601</u> Att	torney I.D. #	
	TE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only it			
I certify that, to my kno action in this court exce	wheeling the within case is not related to an ept as noted above.	y case now pending or within	one year previously terminated	
DATE:		<u>27601</u>		
CIV 609(9/99)	Attorney-at-Law		torney I.D. #	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

		GREEN and : REEN, h/w :
	V	. : Civil Action No. 02-3168
f/k/a	ALL terest	WELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : JIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor : to BENDIX CORPORATION, :
shall and the e with man	comp serve event to its fir	ance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff plete a Case Manage Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint a copy on all defendants. (See ' 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, rest appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case ent track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be
SEL	ECT	ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:
	(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. ' 2441 through ' 2255()
	(b)	Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.()
	(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8.()
	(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.()
	(e)	Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracts (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)($\bf X$)
	(f)	Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.()
	(Date	Attorney-at-law Attorneys for Defendant Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP
BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE
STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE
JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE
INC.,

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250

THE WIDENER BUILDING ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107 (215) 575-4200

R&H File No.: 516,188 (Anapol)

SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO BENDIX CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [EASTERN DISTRICT]

RAUBIE GREEN and : SUZIE GREEN, h/w :

v. :

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No. 02-3168

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION

NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C ' 1452 AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., (AHoneywell®), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation (ABendix®), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson LLP, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Raubie and Suzie Green, h/w v. Pneumo Abex Corp., et al, now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Delaware, with April Court Term and Number 02-60132. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code,

11 U.S.C. ' 101 et seq. (the ABankruptcy Code@) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.

- 2. The above referenced state action, which is Arelated to@ the removed claims, was commenced on April 8, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County.
- 3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the ABendix@ line of automotive friction products.
- 4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as codefendants of Honeywell in this action.
- 5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.
- 6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.
- 7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.
- 8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may

be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1452(a). Removal of these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are Arelated to@ Federal-Mogul=s bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) Arelated to@ subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor codefendants against the debtor).

- 9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are Arelated to@the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.
- 10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4th Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.
- 11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. ¹ 157(b)(5).
- 12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 157 (b)(5) (the ATransfer Motion®), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a Daubert hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the

underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. See 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) (1994); Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993); In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are Arelated to@ a debtor=s bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). See Exhibit AA.@

- 13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the AProvisional Order®), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit AB.®
- 14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the AClarification Order®), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit AC.®
- 15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the ARemand Order@), granting plaintiffs=Motion for Remand. See Exhibit AD.@
- 16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the AStay Order@), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit AE.@

- 17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the AStay Clarification Order@), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit AF.@
- 18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the AScheduling Order@). See Exhibit AG.@
- 19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that A[t]he temporary stay entered by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in effect until further order of the merits panel.@ See Exhibit AG.@
- 20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court=s provisional transfer order is still the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally transferred automatically to Delaware.
- 21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiners Order dated April 12, 2002 (the AAbstention/Remand Order®) Aall pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without prejudice.

 . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the determination of the bankruptcy issyes by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto.® See Exhibit AH.®

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON ILP

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit AI@, a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON ILP

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant=s Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Lawrence R. Cohan, Esquire Anapol Schwartz Weiss Cohan Feldman & Smalley 1900 Delancey Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Pneumo Abex Corporation and Dana Corporation

John F. Kent, Esquire Kent & McBride, P.C. Two Logan Square, Suite 600 18th and Arch Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for A-Best Products

Robert W. Rowan, Esquire Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C. Two Penn Center, 16th Floor 15th and JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for ACandS, Inc.

Joseph M. O'Neill, Esquire Marks, O'Neill, Reilly, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C. 1880 JFK Blvd., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Airco Welders Supply

Norman L. Haase, Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 115 North Jackson Street Media, PA 19063

Attorney for Argo Packing Company, Brand Insulations, Inc. and Cleaver Brooks Co.

Michael P. Creedon, Esquire Creedon & Feliciani 29 E. Marshall Street Norristown, PA 19401

Attorney for Burnham Boiler Corporation and Drever Furnaces

John J. Delany, III, Esquire Delany & O'Brien **Constitution Place** 325 Chestnut Street, Suite 1212 Philadelphia, PA 19106 **Attorney for Combustion Engineering**

Joseph R. Glancy, Esquire Stack & Stack 1600 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Crown, Cork & Seal

Tracey M. McDevitt, Esquire Reilly, Janiczek & McDevitt, P.C. The Widener Building, Suite 520 One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107 **Attorney for Foster Wheeler Corporation**

> Fredric L. Goldfein, Esquire Goldfein & Hosmer 1600 Market Street 33rd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Garlock, Inc.

Sharon L. Caffrey, Esquire Duane Morris LLP One Liberty Place, Suite 4200

Philadelphia, PA 19103-7396 **Attorney for IMO Industries**

Bruce H. Bikin, Esquire Gail A. Weber, Esquire Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads 123 South Broad Street Philadelphia, Pa 19109 Attorney for Magnetek, Inc.

Mark MacDonald, Esquire
Jenkins Robinson Wolf & Rubinate
Public Ledger Building
Sixth and Chestnut Streets, Suite 500
150 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for Palmetto Products

C. James Zeszutek, Esquire Thorp, Reed & Armstrong One Oxford Centre, 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425 **Attorney for Pfizer, Inc.**

Eric J. Kadish, Esquire McCarter & English Mellon Bank Center 1735 Market Street, Suite 700 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Owens Illinois, Inc.

Richard C. Polley, Esquire Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402

Attorney for Rapid American and Sager Corporation

R. Thomas McLaughlin, Esquire Kelly, McLaughlin & Foster 1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1690 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Riley Stoker

> Bruce S. Haines, Esquire Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin One Logan Square Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Uniroyal, Inc.

> > By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire

Dated: